arXiv:1403.6097v1 [math.AP] 24 Mar 2014

ON A MAXIMUM PRINCIPLE FOR VECTOR MINIMIZERS TO THE ALLEN-CAHN ENERGY

CHRISTOS SOURDIS

Abstract. By using the unique continuation principle for linear elliptic systems, we can simplify the proof of a recent variational maximum principle due to Alikakos and Fusco [1]. At the same time, this approach allows us to relax an assumption from the latter reference.

In the recent paper [1], the authors proved the following variational maximum principle, which has already found several applications (see [1], [4]):

Theorem 0.1. Let $W : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ be C^1 and nonnegative. Assume that $W(a) = 0$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and that there is $r_0 > 0$ such that for $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{m-1}$ the map

$$r \to W(a + r\nu) \quad r \in (0, r_0], \tag{0.1}$$

has strictly positive derivative. Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open, connected, bounded set, with ∂A minimally smooth, and suppose that $u \in W^{1,2}(A; \mathbb{R}^m) \cap L^\infty(A; \mathbb{R}^m)$ minimizes

$$J_A(v) = \int_A \left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla v|^2 + W(v) \right) dx$$

subject to its Dirichlet values $v = u$ on ∂A.

If there holds

$$|u(x) - a| \leq r \quad \text{for } x \in \partial A,$$

for some $r \in (0, r_0/2)$, then it also holds that

$$|u(x) - a| \leq r \quad \text{for } x \in A.$$

The main idea of the proof is that if the assertion is violated at some point, then one can construct a suitable competitor function which agrees with u on ∂A and has strictly less energy, which is impossible.

In this note, under some slight additional regularity on W (which is consistent with applications to the corresponding elliptic system), we will show that one can conclude just by showing that the aforementioned competitor function has less or equal energy. Our main observation is to apply the unique continuation principle for linear elliptic systems (see [3] for other applications). As a result, we can simplify the corresponding proof in [1] and also allow for the functions in (0.1) to be merely nondecreasing. More precisely, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 0.2. Assume that $W : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ is $C^{1,1}$, nonnegative, such that $W(a) = 0$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and that the functions in (0.1) are nondecreasing. Moreover, assume that

$$W(u) > 0 \quad \text{if} \quad |u - a| < 2r_0 \quad \text{and} \quad x \neq a.$$

Then, the assertion of Theorem 0.1 remains true.

Proof. Firstly, by standard elliptic regularity theory, we have that u is smooth in A and continuous up to the boundary (under reasonable assumptions on ∂A). Without loss of generality, we take $a = 0$. As in [1], we set

$$\rho(x) = |u(x)| \quad \text{and} \quad \nu(x) = \frac{u(x)}{\rho(x)}$$

on $A_+ = \{x \in A \ : \ \rho > 0\}$. We also set $A_0 = \{x \in A \ : \ \rho = 0\}$. It has been shown in [1] that the energy of u equals

$$J_A(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_A |\nabla\rho|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2}\int_{A_+} \rho^2 |\nabla\nu|^2 dx + \int_A W(\rho\nu) dx.$$

Let

$$\tilde{u}(x) = \begin{cases} \min\{\rho(x), r\}\, \alpha\left(\rho(x)\right) \nu(x), & x \in A_+ \cap \{\rho < 2r\}, \\ \\ 0, & x \in A_0 \cup \{\rho \geq 2r\}, \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha(\cdot)$ is the auxiliary function

$$\alpha(\tau) = \begin{cases} 1, & \tau \leq r, \\ \\ \frac{2r-\tau}{r}, & r \leq \tau \leq 2r, \\ \\ 0, & \tau \geq 2r. \end{cases}$$

It was shown in [1] that $\tilde{u} \in W^{1,2}(A;\mathbb{R}^m) \cap L^\infty(A;\mathbb{R}^m)$ and that its energy equals

$$J_A(\tilde{u}) = \frac{1}{2}\int_A |\nabla\tilde{\rho}|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\tilde{A}_+} \tilde{\rho}^2 |\nabla\nu|^2 dx + \int_A W(\tilde{\rho}\nu) dx,$$

where $\tilde{\rho}(x) = |\tilde{u}(x)|$ and $\tilde{A}_+ = \{x \in A \ : \ \tilde{\rho} > 0\}$. Note that

$$u = \tilde{u} \ \text{ on } \ \partial A \ \text{ and } \ |\tilde{u}| \leq r \ \text{ a.e. in } \ A. \tag{0.2}$$

It follows readily that

$$J_A(\tilde{u}) \leq J_A(u),$$

see also the proof in [1]. Consequently, $\tilde{u}$ is also a minimizer subject to the same boundary conditions as u. It follows that $\tilde{u}$ is smooth and satisfies

$$\Delta\tilde{u} = \nabla W(\tilde{u}) \ \text{ in } \ A.$$

Suppose, to the contrary, that

$$|u(x_0)| > r \ \text{ for some } \ x_0 \in A. \tag{0.3}$$

We will first exclude the case

$$r \leq \rho(x) \leq 2r \ \text{ for all } \ x \in A.$$

If not, the function

$$\hat{u} = r\nu(x) \in W^{1,2}(A;\mathbb{R}^m) \cap L^\infty(A;\mathbb{R}^m)$$

would have strictly less energy then u (because $\int_A |\nabla\rho|^2 dx > 0$) while $\hat{u} = u$ on ∂A, which is impossible. Next, we exclude entirely the case

$$r \leq \rho(x), \quad x \in A.$$

If not, there would exist $x_1 \in A$ such that $\rho(x_1) > 2r$. This implies that $\tilde{u} = 0$ on a set of positive measure containing x_1. Since $\nabla W(u)$ is locally Lipschitz continuous, we see that $\tilde{u}$ satisfies the linear system

$$\Delta \tilde{u} = Q(x)\tilde{u} \ \text{ in } \ A, \ \text{ where } \ Q(x) = \int_0^1 \partial^2 W(tu) u dt \ \text{ is bounded in norm.}$$

On the other hand, because $\tilde{u} = 0$ on a set of positive measure, by the unique continuation principle for linear elliptic systems (see [2]), we infer that $\tilde{u} \equiv 0$ which is clearly impossible (otherwise $|u| \geq 2r$ in A). Therefore, we may assume that there exists a set $B \subset A$ with positive measure such that

$$u = \tilde{u} \ \text{ in } \ B.$$

As before, by considering the linear system for the difference $u - \tilde{u}$, we conclude that $\tilde{u} \equiv u$. We have thus arrived at a contradiction, because of (0.2) and (0.3). □

References

[1] N.D. Alikakos, and G. Fusco, *A maximum principle for systems with variational structure and an application to standing waves*, Arxiv preprint (2014), or arXiv:1311.1022

[2] L. Hörmander, The analysis of linear partial differential operators III: Pseudo-differential operators, Springer-Verlag, 1985.

[3] O. Lopes, *Radial and nonradial minimizers for some radially symmetric functionals*, Electr. J. Diff. Equations **1996** (1996), 1–14.

[4] C. Sourdis, *Optimal energy growth lower bounds for a class of solutions to the vectorial Allen-Cahn equation*, Arxiv preprint (2014), or arXiv:1402.3844v2.

Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, University of Crete.
E-mail address: csourdis@tem.uoc.gr